# Rose, Kenneth

From:

Sklaney, Christopher

Sent:

Tuesday, May 07, 2013 8:46 PM

To: Subject:

Rose, Kenneth RE: Metro



EMS DocID

224814

Sounds good, Ken. Maybe even tomorrow after docket if possible. Whatever works.

From: Rose, Kenneth

Sent: Tuesday, May 07, 2013 4:54 PM

**To:** Sklaney, Christopher **Subject:** RE: Metro

## Chris.

I am working at home Thursday and not working on Friday. I am in tomorrow and will be at the docket meeting for Metro. I'd be happy to talk on the phone on Thursday if you want; am generally available from 8:45am to 4:30 pm.

It is the academic and scientific research I am looking for. Not being a scientist, I am lost as to where to even start. However, I think having citable sources regarding the inputs to the paints/lacquers/varnishes could end up the difference of getting a couple of the entities in as PRPs or not, so it is pretty important.

Thanks, Ken

Kenneth I. Rose III, Financial Analyst
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Region III)

From: Sklaney, Christopher

Sent: Tuesday, May 07, 2013 4:49 PM

**To:** Rose, Kenneth **Subject:** RE: Metro

Ken -

We should sit down for that discussion. In general, paints/lacquers/varnishes of today would contain VOCs of some sort. Paints of a bygone era would have contained lead. In other words, the era of waste handling and some knowledge of the type of paints used would be helpful, the former being more critical. I believe lead-based paint was phased out of production and banned before the close of the 1970s. What I think I know about lacquers and varnishes is that I can't see substance groups other than VOCs being used in their production. It's the volatile, fluid nature of those products that makes them useful. A little more academic research here would be useful. So VOCs would be my quick answer, most likely the aromatic type (most likely styrene, maybe acetone, toluene, or some other benzene-ring types).

Generally speaking, pesticides are what they are. They're manufactured into their end product, if you know what I mean. They're nearly all man-made, I believe. In my experience, they're typically not ingredients. If you have product or trade names, you're in good shape, as that's how most of them are listed in the NCP.

I think Part 266 of the NCP is the one listing waste characteristics. I'd have to check. I believe Anne Gold or someone in the library has the electronic versions of Title 40 of the CFR that we could use.

Are you in on Thursday/Friday? If so, let me know and we can schedule something.

, इंस्पेर अध्या है मिर्ने कर

#### Later - Chris

From: Rose, Kenneth

Sent: Tuesday, May 07, 2013 2:50 PM

**To:** Sklaney, Christopher **Subject:** RE: Metro

Chris.

I need some assistance of a science nature to tie down issues that Andy has regarding Rohm and Haas. Specifically, I need to know what kind of substances, especially hazardous substances, would have been in paints, lacquers, varnishes and pesticides manufactured between 1983 and 1988. I appreciate any research and help you can give me. If we need to get together to discuss, let me know.

Thanks, Ken

Kenneth I. Rose III, Financial Analyst
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Region III)

From: Sklaney, Christopher

Sent: Tuesday, May 07, 2013 10:52 AM

To: Rose, Kenneth Subject: RE: Metro

I must have removed it once tech support retrieved it. Is there a part that would be helpful to you now? If you want the pics, I could send the attachment (A). It's large (16 MB), but we could swing it. The report isn't available as one whole file. I tried to merge it twice, but both times it was corrupted in some manner. A plus to the current version is that it's searchable by keyword.

Anyway, I'll include the narrative here. Let me know what else you might need now and I can buzz it off via e-mail since I have electronic copies with me. Regardless, I'll put a copy up on the G: drive tomorrow.

Later – Chris 484-247-8030 if you want to chat

From: Rose, Kenneth

Sent: Tuesday, May 07, 2013 9:53 AM

**To:** Sklaney, Christopher **Subject:** RE: Metro

Chris,

On G: there are some Excel files and on L: there are some PDFs of maps, but on neither is there a full copy of the report.

-Ken

Kenneth I. Rose III, Financial Analyst
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Region III)

From: Sklaney, Christopher

Sent: Tuesday, May 07, 2013 9:49 AM

**To:** Rose, Kenneth **Subject:** RE: Metro

Ken – I'm at home today, so I can't verify that it's there, but check both the L:/ and G:/ drives under a folder with the Site name. If it's there, it should be readily available. I think I placed it there recently for tech support, but I can't recall if I pulled it down afterward (I don't think so). The copy you want should be under a ConocoPhillips folder and further divided into subfolders: 1.Narrative, 2.Tables, 3.Figures, 4.Appendices.

Let me know if you find it or not - Thanks, Chris

From: Rose, Kenneth

Sent: Tuesday, May 07, 2013 9:40 AM

**To:** Sklaney, Christopher **Subject:** RE: Metro

### Chris,

I know I have asked this before, so am sorry for asking again and forgetting prior response, but is there an electronic copy of the 2005 Conoco report on the Metro property? If so, is it on a share drive that I can grab it from or can you send a copy to me?

Thanks, ken

Kenneth I. Rose III, Financial Analyst
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Region III)

From: Sklaney, Christopher

Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 3:55 PM

To: Rose, Kenneth Subject: RE: Metro

Ken - We're going to conduct the removal site evaluation and plan out the next step on Wednesday. Then it's a matter of finalizing our action memo and sending it through concurrence. Andy and I have been in touch a little bit since you and I talked last. Stay tuned. Unless or until we're tapped on the shoulder and told to stand down, we're going to keep moving forward.

From: Rose, Kenneth

Sent: Monday, May 06, 2013 3:43 PM

To: Sklaney, Christopher

Subject: Metro

### Chris,

Hope all is well. Just following up on what you dropped by a couple of weeks ago to tell me about a possible action memo on the Site. Any more word on that? I have heard nothing.

Thanks, Ken

Kenneth I. Rose III, Financial Analyst
Office of Enforcement, Cost Recovery Branch
U.S Environmental Protection Agency (Region III)
1650 Arch Street (3HS62)
Philadelphia, PA 19103
215.814.3147 (office)
215.814.3025 (fax)
Rose.Kenneth@epa.gov (EMAIL)